

REPORT BIOELECTRICITY





© 2022 Bioenergy Europe

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For permission requests, write to the publisher, addressed "Attention: Permission use Bioenergy Europe Statistical Report" at the address below, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law.

The full Statistical Report is intended for Bioenergy Europe members only. It is prohibited for non-members to read, copy, use, forward or disclose the reports or any associated attachments to others without consent from Bioenergy Europe. Any unauthorised disclosure, copying, distribution or use of emails or attachments sent in relation to the Statistical Report is strictly prohibited.



Bioenergy Europe

Place du Champ de Mars 2A 1050 Brussels T : +32 2 318 40 34 info@bioenergyeurope.org www.bioenergyeurope.org

Authors

Cristina Calderón (lead author) Jérémie Geelen Jean-Marc Jossart (content & technical guidance)

Policy Guidance

Irene di Padua Daniel Reinemann

Visuals & Promotion

Corinna Sala (statistical report promotion) Gaia Weber (statistical report visuals)

Supported by:





TABLE OF CONTENTS

Index

- 1. Electricity and renewable elec
- 2. Bioelectricity in Europe.....
- 3. Annexes.....

List of Figures

Figure 1 Evolution of fuels inputs for electricity generation in EU27 (ktoe) Figure 2 Gross electricity generation by product type in the EU27 in 2020 (kt Figure 3 Electricity production footprint by EU Member State and UK* for 202 Figure 4 Electricity production footprint by EU Member State and UK* for 20 Figure 5 Average load factor* for the different renewable technologies and Figure 6 Evolution of the gross final consumption of electricity and gross fina Figure 7 Share of renewables in gross final consumption of electricity* in EU 2004 and 2020 (in percentage points). Figure 8 Evolution of gross final consumption of electricity from renewable Figure 9 Levelised cost of electricity for different renewable technologies con Figure 10 Share of biomass fuels within the fuel inputs for electricity generation per country** in 2020. Figure 11 Evolution of electrical capacity from biomass plants by type in the Figure 12 Evolution of the gross electricity generation from biomass by type Figure 13 Gross electricity generation from biomass by type in the EU27 202 Figure 14 Share of bioelectricity generation out of total gross electricity gene Figure 15 Share of gross electricity generation of conventional thermal power CHP in 2020 in EU Member States and UK* (%) . Figure 16 EU27 projection for bioelectricity for 2030 based on the NECPs (ktd

List of Tables

Table 1 Fuels inputs for electricity generation changes 1990-2020 in EU2 Table 2 Fuels inputs for electricity generation in EU27 in 2019 (ktoe) Table 3 Fuels inputs for electricity generation in EU27 Member States and Table 4 Fuels inputs for electricity generation in EU27 Member States an Table 5 Gross electricity generation from all sources and from renewable Table 6 Final electricity consumption and electricity export-import by EL Table 7 Total electrical installed capacity and electrical capacity for ren rate Table 8 Fuels inputs for bioelectricity generation in EU Member States a Table 9 Electrical capacity from biomass plants by type in EU Member S **UK load factor** Table 10 Gross electricity generation from biomass in EU Member States Table 11 Evolution of bioelectricity generation 2000-2020 in EU Member Table 12 2030 Bioelectricity projection according to the National Energy growth rate between 2020 and 2030 (%) ... Table 13 Country codes Table 14 Symbols and abbreviations ... Table 15 Table decimal prefixes .. Table 16 Table general conversion factor for energy.



ctricity in Europe	13
	28
	39

oe)	
20 in gCO2eq/kWh of electricity and shares by main fuel	
000, 2010 and 2020 in gCO2eq/kWh of electricity	
for the total installed capacities in the EU27 in 2020	
I consumption of electricity from renewable sources in EU2	7 (ktoe)*24
J Member States and UK* in 2020 (%) and growth of this sh	are between
	25
sources* in EU27 between 2004 and 2020 (ktoe)	
mpared with the range cost for fossil fuel technologies (\in /N	1Wh) 27
on considering conventional thermal energy sources* and b	piomass fuels
EU27 (in MW)	
in the EU27 (ktoe)	
20 (ktoe)	
eration in EU Member States and UK* in 2020 (%)	
er plants* produced from CHP and share of bioelectricity pr	oduced from
oe)	

7 (ktoe)	
d UK* in 2020 (ktoe)	
d UK* in 2020 (%)	
es in EU27 Member States and UK* in 2020 (ktoe)	
J27 Member State and UK* in 2020 (ktoe)	
ewables in EU Member States and UK* in 2020 (MW) – v	vith growth
nd UK* in 2020 (ktoe)	
states and UK* in 2020 (in MW) with average EU Member	r States and
and UK* in 2020 (ktoe) with growth rate	
States and UK* (ktoe)	
y and Climate Plans (NCEPs) in the EU27 Member States	s (ktoe) and
	39
	40

ABOUT THE STATISTICAL REPORT

Every year since its debut release in 2007, Bioenergy Europe's Statistical Report has provided an in-depth overview of the bioenergy sector in the EU-27 Member States

Bioenergy Europe's Statistical Report has been for heat and electricity, the number of biogas plants enriched each year with new figures and information, in Europe, the consumption and trade of pellets, the collecting unique data on the developments of the European bioenergy market from a growing number of international contributors.

Bioenergy Europe develops detailed reports that aid In 2017, the Report was rewarded by the European industry leaders, decision makers, investors and all bioenergy professionals to understand the situation of bioenergy in Europe.

With more than 150 graphs and figures, readers of Bioenergy Europe's Statistical Report can get accurate and up-to-date information on the EU-27 energy system such as the final energy consumption of biomass

production capacity of biofuels and other key information to help break down and clarify the complexity of a sector in constant evolution.

Association Awards for being the 'best Provision of Industry Information and Intelligence', a recognition after a decade of collective work.



ABOUT **BIOENERGY EUROPE**

A bit of history

Bioenergy Europe is the voice of European bioenergy.

It aims to develop a sustainable bioenergy market based on fair business conditions. Founded in 1990, Bioenergy Europe is a non-profit, Brussels-based international organisation bringing together more than 40 associations and 90 companies, as well as academia and research institutes from across Europe.

Our vision

Bioenergy Europe will be the leading player in ensuring that sustainable bioenergy is a key pillar in delivering a carbon neutral Europe.



Our mission

Bioenergy Europe facilitates the development of a sustainable, strong, and competitive bioenergy sector through:

- Promotion towards European policymakers and stakeholders for awareness, acceptance, and reputation of bioenergy.
- Promote the development of consistent, realistic, and sustainable bioenergy scenarios in the heat, electricity, and transport sectors.
- · Pro-active proposals to develop more favourable European legislation.
- Market intelligence to support decision making.
- Services to members, including support to advocacy at a national level.
- Tools, including certification schemes, to sustain market growth and credibility.
- Industry collaboration throughout the entire supply chain.
- Promotion of efficient and innovative technologies within the bioeconomy.

2018

+ report available to the public, free of charge + emphasis on providing transparent data & sharing knowledge to support private & public initiatives to promote bioenergy + 300 pages

+ updated information on bioelectricity / bioheat market & support schemes in all EU28 + a seperate report on ENplus®

2019/2020/2021

+ Bioenergy Europe publishes 7 focussed reports published throughout the year

OUR ACTIVITIES

Bioenergy Europe carries a wide range of activities aimed at supporting its members on the latest EU and national policy developments. Bioenergy Europe works to voice their concerns to EU and other authorities, including, advocacy activities in key policy areas as well as the organisation of dedicated working groups.

Working Groups

Bioenergy Europe's working groups act as a platform for members to discuss common issues and exchange information on the state of play of bioenergy.

There are currently 8 active working groups:

- Agro-biomass;
- Competitiveness;
- Domestic Heating;
- Pellets;
- Sustainability;
- Wood Supply;
- Task Force Carbon Removal;
- Task Force National Advocacy.

Certification Schemes

Thanks to the experience and authority acquired over the last 20 years, Bioenergy Europe has successfully established two international certification schemes to guarantee high quality standard for fuels, namely, ENplus[®], as well as the latest edition in the certification for sustainable bioenergy: SURE.



Networks

Bioenergy Europe is the umbrella organisation of both the European Pellet Council (EPC) and the International Biomass Torrefaction Council (IBTC). These networks





have been created thanks to the dynamics of Bioenergy Europe members. Today, these networks bring together bioenergy experts and company representatives from all over Europe and beyond.

The European Pellet Council (EPC), founded in 2010, represents the interests of the European wood pellet sector. Its members are national pellet associations or related organisations from over 17 countries.

EPC is a platform for the pellet sector to discuss issues relating to the transition from a niche product to a major energy commodity. Issues include the standardisation and certification of pellet quality, safety, security of supply, education and training, and the quality of pellet-using devices. EPC manages the ENplus[®] quality certification.

Launched in 2012, the International Biomass Torrefaction Council (IBTC), aims to build the first platform for companies that have common interests in the development of torrefied Biomass markets. Currently, the IBTC initiative is supported by more than 20 companies worldwide.

IBTC's objective is to promote the use of torrefied biomass as an energy carrier and to assist the development of the torrefaction industry. In this respect, IBTC's key activities are to undertake studies or projects, and to commonly voice its members' concerns to third parties to help to overcome barriers of market deployment.

OUR **MEMBERS***

As the common voice of the bioenergy sector, Bioenergy Europe, aims to develop a sustainable bioenergy market based on fair business conditions and does so by bringing together national associations and companies from all over Europe - thus representing more than 4000 indirect members, including companies and research centres.

Associations

AIEL Asociación de Empresas aimmp 60 AMOS BBE BUNDESVERBAND BIOENERGIA EBS fiper Eesti Taastuvenergia Koda CROBIOM BIOI BIO Ceská peleta FEBHEL DIBioenergy edora ۲ LAT LITBIOMA pro»pellets HellaBiom CproPellets.ch Ser Syndicat des émergie renouvelob oidon P O L I S H P E L L E T COUNCIL ĩrbea Propelle SIA USIPA UABIO UK PELLET A AND SVEBIO Svenska Trädbränslefö ValBiom WOOD PELLET Spettro





Academia









E

P

ENHANCED VISIBILITY & SPONSORSHIP OPPORTUNITIES

Enhanced Visibility

(Exclusive to Bioenergy Europe Members)

This opportunity entails a free of charge promotion for Bioenergy Europe members only. This offer includes the chance to display your organisation's logo as well as a featured 100-word description, placed in 1 of the 7 annual statistical reports of your choice.

This enhanced visibility opportunity is limited and interested members are required to contact the team via info@bioenergyeurope.org



You can find further information about this opportunity on the Bioenergy Europe website.

Sponsorship

Bioenergy Europe offers a sponsorship opportunity for the Statistical Report where you will have the opportunity to have the highest level of visibility. In addition to having full page adverts in all 7 statistical reports, you will also have your logos placed on publications, policy briefs, and enjoy content-driven tweets, as well as Linkedin posts, amongst a variety of additional advantages.

You can find more information in regard to the sponsorship on our website or get in touch with our Team at info@bioenergyeurope.org.

*Bioenergy Europe Members receive a 50% discount on this sponsorship package



Bioenergy Europe Place du Champ de Mars 2A 1050 Brussels T : +32 2 318 40 34 info@bioenergyeurope.org



www.bioenergyeurope.org

Bioelectricity

Trusted. Independent. Committed.

Assuring the safety, quality and sustainability of renewable energy

We have extensive experience in testing and inspection services for solid biofuels and biogas – for clients delivering to industrial users – for power generation, as well as commercial and residential users – for heat.

Testing

Solid biofuels:

- Moisture
- Proximate analysis
- Ultimate analysis
- Calorific values
- Halogens
- Major Elements (MAA)
- Minor Elements (Trace)
- Mechanical durability
- Bulk density
- Biomass content
- Length & diameter
- Particle size distribution
- Ash melting behaviour (AFT's)
- DNA (Rice husk)

Biogas:

- Gas composition
- Critical components analysis including sulphur, silica and chlorine
- Oil condition monitoring

Inspection

Our experienced and certified experts offer a full range of proactive inspection, fumigation and stock monitoring services to help you identify and manage operational risks, and ensure quality and quantity of your cargo as well as its compliance with local and international regulations. You can trust SGS to be your representative in any port and every location, worldwide.

Audit and certification

Our audit and certification services cover the entire value chain, from product certification to process and systems certification, helping you demonstrate your excellent operating standards to your clients. Our specialists conduct Chain of custody certification (CoC), ENplus[®], ISCC Plus, sustainability report assurance, GHG and environmental impact assessments, carbon credit certification, EUTR DD and more.

We are SGS – the world's leading testing, inspection and certification company. We are recognized as the global benchmark for quality and integrity.

Both in the field and in the laboratory, we deliver unrivalled solutions along the entire value chain to help our customers ensure transparency, demonstrate compliance, improve quality and maximize profits.

Contact us

- 🖂 naturalresources@sgs.com
- www.sgs.com/naturalresources
- in SGS Natural Resources



WHEN YOU NEED TO BE SURE

Moving forward together in changing energy markets

Resource efficiency, flexibility and clean solutions are the key for success in changing energy markets. Based on our decades-long experience, we have the know-how to deliver the best solutions based on biomass, waste or on a mixture of different fuels.

Valmet's proven automation solutions help you to optimize your energy production and our network of service professionals is ready to recharge your competitiveness both on-site and remotely. Explore **valmet.com/energy**









SURE enables all economic operator along the supply chain, from biomass producers to conversion plants, to prove sustainable use of biomass in electricity production

SUSTAINABLE RESOURCES Verification Scheme (SURE) is a voluntary certification scheme that aims at ensuring the sustainable and responsible use of biomass within the energy sector. SURE's set of criteria is accordance with the principles of the European Energy Directive (RED II) and enables all economic operators within the bioenergy sector to demonstrate compliance RED II requirements.

Interested to learn more? Visit our website: www.sure-system.eu



Elettricità Futura is the leading Association in Italy representing the broad electricity sector and bringing together large, medium and small enterprises involved in the entire supply chain (traditional and RES generation, retail, distribution, services).

Elettricità Futura has 500+ members representing 70% of the electricity market in Italy. It is part of Con ndustria as well as being member of the main European associations of the power sector. The Association, with a total of 50 members dealing with bioenergy, supports the role of sustainable bioliquids, biofuels, solid biomass, biogas and biomethane, recognising their valuable contribution to the energy system and its decarbonisation.

www.elettricitafutura.it





Electricity, heat and carbon from wood. SYNCRAFT, an Austrian high-tech company based in Tyrol, has been building and implementing energy systems worldwide for over 10 years now, that generate electricity, heat and green carbon from residual wood. SYNCRAFT's energy systems become climate-positive if the pure green carbon produced is used for nonthermal applications, e.g. as soil conditioner for the production of fertile black earth. In this way, the carbon originally withdrawn from the atmosphere via the tree is stored in the soil in a long-term, stable and bene cial manner. Our initially CO2-neutral power plant thus becomes a CO2negative "reverse power plant".

1. Electricity and renewable electricity in Europe

The coronavirus pandemic made the second quarter of 2020 an exceptionally challenging period for the electricity markets. Widespread lockdown measures suddenly reduced energy demand and electricity consumption dropped by 11% in the EU27 compared to same period in 2019.

Renewables overtook fossil fuels as EU's main power source already in 2019, as a result of a standing increase in the last decade. Stronger climate policies and the positive effects of the EU ETS are supporting the faster decarbonization of the power mix, but faster deployment of renewables is needed to reach carbon neutrality. Electricity generated from coal or nuclear has been decreasing since 2003 while the input of gas has been increasing since 2014. The use of coal has experienced a remarkable decrease, falling by 20%, between 2019 and 2020, this is partly attributed to the growth of renewables but also due to the falling energy demand that followed the COVID crisis.

Figure 1 shows that the progressive phase out of coal in the last decade has not been entirely replaced by renewables but also by natural gas which increased by 48.740 ktoe between 2000 and 2020. Unfortunately, natural gas has been considered as a transitional source and less harmful than coal and has therefore gained acceptance among both citizens and policymakers. While most countries decreased their use of oil and coal for electricity production between 2019 and 2020, the contribution of natural gas increased in 18 Member States. It should be noted that the increase of gas consumption in Europe goes hand in hand with an increasing energy dependency as natural gas has the second-highest import dependency rate after oil with 83.6% in 2020. Indeed, in 2021, the EU imported more than 40% of its total gas consumption, around 27% of oil imports and approximately 46% of coal imports from Russia. Energy represented 62% of EU total imports from Russia, and cost 99 billion €.





Note: Fuels inputs for electricity consider all the fuels used to produce electricity, including auto-producers and CHP. Non-renewable waste consists of materials coming from combustible industrial, institutional, hospital and household waste, such as rubber, plastics, fossil oils waste and other similar types of waste, either solid or liquid. The nuclear fuel input considers the generated heat and not the generated electricity. Other non-renewables include manufactured gases, oil shale and oil sands, peat products. Source: Eurostat

Table 1 Fuels inputs for electricity generation changes (1995-2020 in EUC7 (How)

	100		Absolute change	Growth rate (1998-2028)
Total	546.555	\$12.455	-41.700	- 65
Salid Read Rush	216.614	84.717	-131.897	42%
OE and petroleum products	47.575	11.748	-36.228	-76%
Natural gas	48.024	96.764	48.740	101%
Nuclear heat	188.580	175.385	-13.479	-75
Non-renewable wants	1.149	8.485	7.887	629%
Other non-remewables	13.270	8.678	-4.582	-05%
Renewables	30.941	\$27.965	96.420	1175

Inema Turnetat

Table 2 Fosts inputs for electricity generation in EUET in 2018 (Inter)

Fuel	Pear	and a	0	,	Total	Total prowth rate (2018-2018)
Solid Issuit Suels	66.225	60%	43.340	40%	109.566	-21%
OII and patholeum products	6.836	54%	5.749	40%	12.584	-75
Natural gas	45.247	40%	53.542	54%	10.705	12%
Nuclear	195.821	1875	3.387	2%	196.628	0.2%
Non-remewable works	2.625	34%	5.009	66%	7.685	-2%
Other non-renewable	6.185	61%	3.952	39%	10.098	-34%
Renewables	89.204	14%	10.000	26%	1,00 105	4%
Hydro	28.777	100%	1	1	29,777	1%
Geothermal	5.758	100%	1	1	5.758	0,4%
Wind	34.172	100%			34.172	24%
Solar thermal	1.960	100%	1	1	1.960	256
Salar photosoftaix	11.875	100%			11.875	26%
Tale, worse, occurs	43	100%	1	1	43	455
Solid biomass	5.826	24%	18.413	76%	24.299	95
Regar	2.812	27%	7.743	79%		2%
Municipal wants renewable	2.431	115	5.430	-	7.845	15
Ballquids	518	50%	46.5	475		-
TOTAL	410.146	74%	145.558	26%	555.754	-0%

Note: "condemnation input costen of regult into the transformation plants destined to be conserted into derived products or transformation actual plantmitly and derived head). Transformation is only eccented when the energy products an physically or chemically resulted to produce other energy products, in this case electricity. For wind, aske, tilk, were & coster technologies, it corresponds to the proc. electricity produced. For hydro, the fast impute exclude the production from gamped hydro. Names Turnetal

Table 3 Fault inputs for electricity generation in \$227 Member States and UK* in \$529 (itse)

	100	315	01 and particular particular	-	Rather	Other same	Wasten (vor- researchin)	R ecording	Tend Bornes
EUE?	512.855	84.717	11.748	55.754	175.385	8.678	8.485	127.361	44.069
#7	8.652	1.00	206	1.916		400	342	5.678	1.811
	16.436		16	4.087	8.370	685	482	2.768	1.272
86	5.589	3.564	56	676	4.295	12	1	965	-
CY.	991		867					34	
12	18.554	8.455	82	1.280	7.496	545	58	1.692	1.258
04	98.505	80.526		17.539	36.577	2.126	2.467	28.472	11.157
-	5.093	687	72	254			380	3.630	2.360
	1.558					844	25	678	585
	7.642	1.664	1.179	3.187			10	1.582	125
85	42.529	1.461	2.159	10.663	15.174	148	346	12.569	1.785
	12.741	850	56	774	5.548	860	198	4,855	2.629
-	125.114	823	1.384	5.874	92.211	545	1.129	13.398	3.961
-	1.990	256		782				1.005	210
100	2.755	952	88	1.699	4.018	84	22	912	611
	4.498	188	108	2.562		229	99	1.318	239
	50.562	3.360	3.499	23.954		439	878	18.432	5.438
17	1.827		20	385		186	57	429	100
	289			5.7			21	211	160
-	545			375				578	100
MT	363		24	118				25	8
	18.758	1.525	324	11.094	956	542	758	4.524	2.513
PL	33.851	25.797	178	2.918		742	230	3.786	2.079
**	7.581	356	240	3.187			81	3.457	1.015
80	10.985	2.729	147	2.851	2.667	30		2.238	148
н	25.965	1	42	10	12.028	261	820	12.781	4.099
	3.134	975	8	117	1.497		10	5.85	78
	6.482	801	84	776	4.044	45	17	941	487
UK.	53.309	1.748	409	20.944	13.253	1.262	305	15.188	8.034

"of data for 2018 Bearse Turning

Table 4 Fuels inputs for electricity generation in EU27 Member States and UK* in 3020 (%)

	315	01 and generation generation	-	Rather	Other same	Nata 24	4	Tatal Bioman
BUE?	14,5%	2,9%	18,9%	34,2%	1,76	1,76	24,8%	8,6%
AT	1,5%	2,4%	22,0%	6,0%	4,8%	3,9%	65,3%	15,7%
	0,0%	0,2%	24,9%	50,9%	4,2%	2,9%	17,0%	1,7%
86	17,2%	0.6%	7,0%	44,8%	0.2%	0.0%	10.7%	5,2%
69	0,0%	94,6%	0,0%	0,0%	0.0%	0,0%	5,4%	0,8%
	43,4%	0.2%	6,0%	10,7%	2,8%	0.75	8,7%	5,4%
04	30,8%	1,0%	17,8%	16,8%	2,2%	2,5%	28,9%	11,9%
04	13,4%	1,4%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7,8%	72,2%	42,9%
	0,0%	0,4%	0,4%	0.0%	54,2%	1,6%	43,5%	38,7%
	21,8%	15,4%	41,8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	20.8%	1,8%
85	3,4%	5,2%	25,2%	15,7%	0,7%	0,8%	25,6%	4,2%
	5,0%	0,4%	6,2%	43,5%	6,76	1.6%	36,5%	20,8%
**	0,7%	1,0%	5,2%	80,2%	0,4%	1,0%	11,6%	2,9%
-	12,9%	0,4%	36,7%	0.0%	0,0%	0.0%	50,0%	15,4%
-	12,2%	0.2%	22,0%	52,0%	1,2%	1,0%	11,8%	7,9%
	4,2%	2,4%	57,0%	0.0%	5,0%	2.2%	25,25	5,7%
	6,0%	6,9%	47,4%	0,0%	0,9%	1,7%	36,5%	10,8%
17	0.0%	1,9%	32,6%	6,0%	18,7%	5.0%	41,8%	38,8%
	0,0%	0,0%	18,7%	0,0%	0,0%	7,3%	73,0%	55,4%
19	0.0%	0.0%	38,2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80,7%	21,7%
MT	0,0%	6,6%	87,6%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	5,8%	0,7%
	7,7%	1,8%	54,75	4,8%	2,7%	1.8%	22,9%	12,7%
m.	76,2%	1,1%	8,6%	0,0%	2,2%	0,7%	11,2%	6,2%
-	7,4%	3,2%	42,3%	0.0%	0.0%	1,2%	45,9%	13,5%
80	26,2%	1,4%	22,6%	27,8%	0,4%	0,0%	21,6%	1,6%
	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	46,75	1.0%	1.2%	45,2%	15,8%
	31,0%	0,2%	3,7%	47,8%	0,0%	0,3%	17,1%	2,5%
-	8,9%	1,0%	11,9%	62,4%	0,7%	0,75	14,5%	7,9%
UK	1,75	0.8%	35,75	24,9%	2,4%	0.9%	28,5%	15,7%

"of data for 2008

Ineral Constant

725 of the electricity production still comes from fiscal fuels and nuclear; this share is more than 855 in certain countries, such as Bulgaria, Egmus, Esech Republic, Hungary, France, Malta, Poland, and Stouakia. In the last two decades, the use of natural gas for electricity production has doubled, half of it being consumed by three countries: Germany, Spain, and Italy, Germany and Poland are the two largest users of coal for electricity generation together they represent more than 605 of the EU27 solid fiscal fuels input for electricity. Raly, Spain, and France are the toggest users of petroleum products for electricity generation. To reduce the carbon fostprint of their electricity suggily, the aforementioned countries will need to implement substantial changes. biselectricity is a good option, and it will ensure the grid stability and an efficient use of primary energy via continied heat and power (2)49 when relevant. The country with the highest share of biomass in their electricity production is Luxembourg (55%), followed by Denmark (43%), Lithuania (39%) and Estonia (38%).

Renewables generated 39% of the 27 Member States' electricity in 2020, beating fossil fuels which accounted for 36%. For some countries, renewable electricity generation represents close or more than two thirds of their electricity, for example in Luxembourg (89%), Denmark (82%), Austria (81%), Sweden (68%), Croatia (65%), and Latvia (64%).



Figure 2 Gross electricity generation by product type in the EU27 in 2020 (ktoe)

Note: Hydro and wind are not normalised (more information about normalised production available in the SHARES Tool Manual). **Source:** Eurostat

The total gross electricity generation decreased by 4% between 2019 and 2020 as electricity demand dropped in 2020 due to the impact of the Covid-19 along with the resulting lockdown measures and their impact on the energy system. Nevertheless, the extraordinary situation led to an increase on the share of renewables due to depressed electricity demand, low operating costs and priority access to the grid through new regulation. In 2020, renewable electricity generation increased by 8% in comparison with the previous year, particularly due to the increased production of wind and solar. Nevertheless, the pandemic challenged grid operators who had to manage increased volumes of intermittent renewable energy in a low-demand environment with fewer thermal power plants online to call upon for grid stability. Increasing production of power with intermittent sources could cause the risk of grid instability if not perfectly balanced with base load sources like biomass. The largest absolute producers of bioelectricity in EU are Germany (4.373 ktoe), Italy (1.704 ktoe), and Finland (996 ktoe). The three EU member countries with the highest share of bioelectricity production among renewables are Estonia (65%), Czech Republic (45%) and Hungary (39%).

Table 1. Gross electricity generation from all sources and from renewables in EUET Member States and UK* in 2020 (How)

	-	3]5	-	-	Rather	Martin (martin)	Other same		Bashcoraly	****	-	***		Gestlement	ill
8487	238,380	80.254	4.132	48.256	58.775	1.782	2.758	80,000	14.075	81,212	34.173	11.875	425	578	-
Grouth-rate (2010 AURI)	4,25	-22,2%	-1,98	-1.7%	-10,7%	-1,9%	-01,7%	8,0%	2,3%	8,3%	8,7%	16,0%	-11,7%	4,2%	2,0%
47	6.239	-	82	856		-	154	5.054	296	3.900	184	176			
-	7.643		10	2.362	2.961	108	154	2.569	454	118	1.087	439			
-	3.562	1.362	26	187	1.430		2	-	146	285	127	127			
	417		2006					55	5			25			
-	6.005	2.666		145	2.585		345	1.005	448	296		187			
	49.105	11.487	420	8.186	5.536	1666	838	22.079	4.379	2.139	11.358	4.182		20	
-	2.475	263	28			-		2.016	524		1.404				
	552		2	2			257	245	158		75	88			
	4.149	144	406	1.453				1.518		296	805	162			
-	22.432	475	820	5.096	1.013	80	54	10.084	5.80	2.823	4,853	1.548	429		2
	5.808	218	1.7	343	2.005			3.062	-	1.366	683	18			
-	45.675	265	482	3.057	80.424	199	140	11.108	77%	5.725	3.421	1.152			41
-	1.053	100		296				748	84	500	148				
-	2.993	10.0		782	1.380	23	10	475	186	21	56	2115			
	2.7%	18	34	1.396		26	75	1.184		105	100	5			
	24.078	1.150	864	11.485		207	143	10.239	1.704	4.256	1.613	2.145		5.08	
18	457		25	146		12		288	35		188	11			
	192			16				170	82	54	80	34			
-	412			178				214	74	224	15				
147	184		5	158				21	1			20			
	10.580	104	115	6.248	164	161	213	2.857	798		1.018	754			
m.	13.581	9.235	150	1.486		43	170	2.497	718	253	1.359	108			
	4.564	203	104	1.518		28		2.725	3.26	1.172	1.057	148		28	
80	4,810	805	5.2	81.0	1005		10	2.343	47	1.850	587	149			
	14.087		12		4.230	188	52	5.647	863	6.229	2.367	-			
	1.478	875		140	546			9475	24	449	8	82			
	2.485			10.7	1.528		28	422	144	421		3.7			
100	27.760	1003	127	11.238	4,810	-	4.7	10.015	2.605	1001	3.580				1

* 18 April 10 2018

Note: The gross alectricity generation from hydro is figher than its fast input presented in table 2 because pumped hydro is included here. Name: Research formation



Figure 3 Electricity production footprint by EU Member State and UK* for 2020 in gCO₂eq/kWh of electricity and shares by main fuel

* UK data for 2019

Note: Other non-renewables include non-renewable waste, oil & petroleum products, manufactured gases, oil shale and oil sands, peat products. The share presented for each fuel depends on its share in the gross electricity production and its emissions factor – i.e., variations of importance for a specific fuel between countries are due to differences in share of gross electricity production.

Source: Bioenergy Europe calculations based on the 2019 gross electricity generation from Eurostat, the median GHG emission factor of the IPCC 2014, Tomorrow - ElectricityMap, Paolini et al. (2018), RED II values with Bioenergy Europe assumptions and calculations. GHG emissions are considered, as well as the Life Cycle Assessment emissions and not only the stack emissions.



Figure 4 Electricity production footprint by EU Member State and UK* for 2000, 2010 and 2020 in gCO₂eq/kWh of electricity

-2000 -2010 -2020

* UK data for 2019

Source: Bioenergy Europe calculations based on the 2018 gross electricity generation from Eurostat, the median GHG emission factor of the IPCC 2014, Tomorrow - ElectricityMap, Paolini et al. (2018), RED II values with Bioenergy Europe assumptions and calculations.

As emissions from electricity generation are usually produced in a different location than where it's consumed, consumers often do not realize the real carbon footprint of their electricity consumption. Understanding the emissions implications of electricity use has become increasingly important as the electrification of transport, as well as heating and cooling, is rising. As can be seen in figure 4, the shift away from fossil fuels has caused the carbon footprint of electricity generation in the EU27 to fall on an annual basis over the past two decades.

Nevertheless, we can witness the opposite on some occasions. If we take the case of Lithuania for example, we see that the carbon footprint of electricity production has increased between 2000 and 2010. This is because Lithuania shut down its last nuclear reactor during this period and, since electricity generation from nuclear sources is relatively small in terms of carbon emissions, this led to an increase in the footprint of electricity generation as it was necessary to compensate for the amount produced by nuclear with other energy sources.

It must be noted that the positive evolution in carbon emissions of the figure above hides behind an increase of natural gas which has partly filled in the gap left by the reduction of nuclear and coal electricity production. Natural gas is, after nuclear, the second most important fuel for electricity production in EU27 and, although less polluting than oil and coal, natural gas cannot be considered as a clean fuel.

Looking ahead, electricity is expected to play a bigger role in heating, cooling, and transport as well as many digitally integrated sectors such as communication, finance, and healthcare. The need for clean and secure electricity is essential for the achievement of EU climate objectives and the proper functioning of modern economies.

Table 5 Final electricity consumption and electricity expect impact by EU27 Member State and UK" in 2020 (Mosc)

	Final consumption - energy une	Espert	Import	Report - Import
8427	205.061	31.560		-1.190
Growth rate (2019-2020)	-4,02%	0,32%	3,10%	1
87	5,263	1.820	2.509	- 189
	6.801	1.208	1.180	29
86	2.458	612	315	293
67	376			
a	4.304	2.602	1.549	875
-	41,257	5.751	4.115	1.636
04	2.685	1.007	1.589	-582
	617	8.00	633	-818
-	4.087	83	845	-762
85	18.887	1.260	1.542	-282
	6.612	574	1.861	-1.288
-	85.375	5.552	1.680	3.873
	1.308	545	902	- 200
	3.434	645	1.649	-1.004
	2.463	364		18
	23.663	453	3.421	-2.768
17		253	1.093	480
	5.06	93	563	-470
1.8	545	218	258	- 140
ANT .	2002		36	-06
	9.278	1.829	1.700	229
m.	11.000	633	1.779	-0.545
	3.877	524	645	-625
-	3.785	467	750	-240
	10.584	3.166	1.017	2.149
	1.134	784	612	172
10	2.048	3.115	1.143	-27
UK	25.998		2.111	-1.820

* 18 Aug for 2018

"Equat and import for the EUC? include EC internal trade.

Instant Constant

EU27 trades electricity with most of its neighbours. The most important partners in terms of volumes are Norway, the UK, and Switzerland, in the first two countries, generators are covered by EU ETS obligations and in the Swits case, the local trading system is linked to the EU ETS. Large volumes of nuclear generation in France made the country Europe's biggest exporter of electricity. Lovembourg and Lithuania mainly rely on imports for their electricity consumption and in absolute terms Germany remains the biggest importer of electricity experiencing a growth of imports of 38.85, compared with the previous year due to the coal and nuclear power stations being shut down/decommissioned.

Installed electrical capacity from renewables increased by EL between 2019 and 2020, a trend particularly driven by wind and solar photosoftaics. Such rapid growth in variable renewable sources will help alleviate traditional fuel security concerns, but it will call for the flexibility in power systems to rapidly increase. Over the same period, the installed capacity for bioelectricity decreased by 536 MMI. Sharp decreases, particularly in Austria (from 1.521 to 1.058 MMI), Belgium (from 1.032 MMI to 48 MMI), and Italy (from 3.850 to 65MMI) are largely responsible for the decline, through it was partially offset by other countries (Egprus, Czech Republic, and Spain) which saw a doubling of their installed capacity of bioelectricity.

Table 7 Total electrical installed capacity and electrical capacity for removables in EUD7 Member States and UK* in 2000 (MMI) - with growth rate

	y	test .	-	Mind on share	-	Bashcondy	-	-	Sectored	111
8487	962.601	505.787		162.487	14.457	86.502	138.137	2.000	871	217
Country and	1,60%	5,72%	4,095	4,75%	20,64%	-1,45%	15,29%	0,00%	0,58%	4,128
	26.312	20.952	14.605	3.226		1.058	2.043		1	
	25.762	11.739	1.435	2.419	2.262	48	5.575			
	10.965	6.050	1.176	705		873	1.087			
69	1.897	2.354		158		1.967	229			
-	21.402	14.123	2.265	100		11.395	2.129			
	233.747	127.122	10.7%2	54.434	7.774	19813	53.719	2	40	
-	15.489	7.736		4.558	1.701	148	1.304			
	2.758	6.00		817		927	2008			
	20.795	12.209	3.417	4.119		1.385	3.288			
	108-471	61,829	38.117	26.619		2.298	10.285	2.304		5
	17.801	6.203	3.384	2.515	75	135	118			
-	136.637	10.288	25.752	17.484		3.843	12.482		18	212
	4.862	8.152	2,200	801		18	100			
-	10.708	2.669	58	825		1256	2.191			
	11.244	3.001	525	4.307		138				
	116.883	56.052	22.485	10.671		45	21.450		772	
18	3.491	2.117	877	540		5.06	164			
	1.808	1.474	1.881	158		5	187			
-	2.544	2.829	1.586	78		1,260	5			
-	783	1.709				1.525	188			
	42,255	18.700	10	4.158	2.460	1.095	10.950			
	45.368	13.409	2.400	6.298		756	3.955			
	21.455	13.458	7.241	5.067	25	145	1.100		28	
80	20.585	11.134	6.652	8.013		67	1.983		0	
	45.472	27.736	16.405	8.775	203	285	1.387			
	3.929	4.330	1.852			2.585	170			
	1.767	7.854	2.529			4.287	5.85			
100	104.847	52.060	4,773	14.125	8.871	7.826	13.546			-

* off data for 2018

Internet Surveyord



Figure 5 Average load factor* for the different renewable technologies and for the total installed capacities in the EU27 in 2020

*The load factor represents the percentage of the time equivalent (annual average) during which the unit is operating at its nominal capacity. **Note:** Total considers all the electricity sources and technologies. **Source:** Eurostat and Bioenergy Europe's calculations

Bioelectricity's load factor is twice the average for renewables and second only to geothermal. Indeed, bioelectricity is dispatchable and production can be adjusted to stabilize the grid. The stability and reliability of the grid is a big challenge for the energy transition due to the significant increase of intermittent technologies (e.g. wind and PV). As an affordable, dispatchable, flexible, and non-site-specific electricity source, bioelectricity is an important complementary electricity source and an important and viable solution. The intermittency of wind and sun irradiation induces a lower load factor for technologies exploiting those energy sources, meaning that to produce the same amount of electricity, more installed capacity will be needed. This could lead to overcapacity in certain circumstances, as electricity is hard to store. Maintenance and grid management for stability will be more challenging as the share of intermittent sources is increasing. Furthermore, when the production is non-dispatchable, more storage solutions will be needed, increasing the overall system costs. Therefore, biopower is complementary with the long-term power system development marked by increased share of intermittent sources.



Figure 6 Evolution of the gross final consumption of electricity and gross final consumption of electricity from renewable sources in EU27 (ktoe)*

* Gross final consumption of electricity is calculated according to the methodology established by Directive 2009/28/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1099/2008. Source: Eurostat. SHARES 2020

Between 2004 and 2020 the gross final consumption of electricity in EU27 decreased from 246.397 ktoe to 237.668 ktoe, while at the same time renewable electricity consumption increased from 39.106 ktoe to 89.082ktoe. Electricity is the sector where renewables have experienced the highest penetration and contribute to the highest share (37,5%).

Yet, Figure 6 also shows there is still a large gap to be filled to achieve 100% renewable electricity; today and in the near future, electrification is by no means equal to decarbonisation at the EU27 scale. Further efforts are needed, such as a complete phase-out of direct and indirect subsidies to fossil fuels.





* Data of UK for 2019

* Calculated according to the methodology established in Directive 2009/28/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1099/2008.

Source: Eurostat, SHARE 2020 (with wind and hydro normalised and pumped hydro excluded)

Figure 7 shows the divergences between Member States with respect to the deployment of renewables in power. Austria, Sweden, Denmark, and Portugal are leading for the share of renewables in gross final consumption of electricity. Hydropower is the main contributor for Austria, Portugal and Sweden, while wind is the main source in Denmark (Cf Table 4). Bioelectricity also has a key role in these four countries as it represents their second or third largest source of renewable electricity. Estonia, Czech Republic and Hungary, have the biggest share of bioenergy (Cf Table 4) among renewables in power, with 65%, 44,8%, 39,2% respectively. It must be noted that Figure 7 expresses the contribution of renewables in relative terms; in absolute terms the top countries producing the most renewable electricity are Germany, France, Italy, Finland and Sweden (Cf Table 4).



Figure 8 Evolution of gross final consumption of electricity from renewable sources* in EU27 between 2004 and 2020 (ktoe)

* Calculated according to the methodology established on Directive 2009/28/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1099/2008. **Note:** Wind and hydro are normalised to smoothen the annual changes due to weather conditions – that is the reason why the data is not exactly matching the one presented in table 4.More information about normalised production is available in the SHARES Tool Manual. Solar include both solar PV and concentrated solar plants. All other renewables include electricity generation from geothermal and tide, wave & ocean.

Source: Eurostat, SHARES 2019, and Bioenergy Europe's calculations

Wind power experienced a fast-paced growth in the last decades, overpassing the electricity produced from hydro and becoming the most important renewable energy source in the power sector. Renewable electricity sources like wind and solar lead the growth in the power sector, but because of their variable nature, they require flexible and dispatchable electricity generation to complement them. Bioenergy is the third largest source in the power sector and can be used to provide baseload electricity or crucially peak load units which provide stability to the grid. As already stated before, the grid stability is of foremost importance when variable energy sources (wind and solar) are in high shares.

It is also interesting to note that energy production is increasingly decentralising allowing the consumer to be put at the centre of the energy transition.



Figure 9 Levelised cost of electricity for different renewable technologies compared with the range cost for fossil fuel technologies (€/MWh).

Source: Eurobserv'er (for renewables) and Irena (for the range of fossil fuel costs)

Figure 9 shows that bioelectricity is competitive. Indeed, the Levelised cost of electricity (LCoE, defined as the "average revenue per unit of electricity generated that would be required to recover the costs of building and operating a generating plant during an assumed financial life and duty cycle") of bioelectricity technologies are in the range of fossil fuels, except for biogas technologies where cost reductions are expected. It must be noted that the range of cost for biomass technologies may be larger than what is shown in Figure 9 depending on the technologies, feedstock and geographical conditions considered. The limitations of LCoE should also be acknowledged: while it allows for a simple comparison of technologies with varied features, it does not cover the "how, when and where" of electricity production, which in turn means that the utility of the produced electricity is not considered, there is no distinction between units that are flexible and dispatchable (able to adapt their production to the actual needs of the grid), nor the place of production (grid connection and losses). Furthermore, none of the social and environmental externalities are included in the LCoEs which would lead to a decrease in the performance of the fossil fuel technologies. Additionally, the LCoE does not consider the potential valuation of heat within CHP plants which would lead to more advantageous results.

Considering these limits of the LCoEs, the benefits of bioelectricity technologies are understated as they use renewable fuels and are flexible, dispatchable technologies that can valorise heat production through co-generation plants.

2. Bioelectricity in Europe



Figure 10 Share of biomass fuels within the fuel inputs for electricity generation considering conventional thermal energy sources* and biomass fuels per country** in 2020

In Luxemburg, Denmark and Latvia, biomass fuels represent more than 40% of all conventional thermal sources used for electricity production (i.e., not considering wind, hydro, solar, and tide, wave, ocean and geothermal). Although Luxembourg has the highest share of biomass fuels, this is a little misleading as Luxembourg imports most of its electricity. Furthermore, given the low total fuels inputs for electricity generation, even an individual plant can have an impact on the share of biomass fuels. The input of biomass for electricity increased by 1.384 ktoe between 2019 and 2020. Solid biomass represents 55% of the fuel inputs for bioelectricity in the EU27 in 2020, followed by biogas (24%) and renewable waste (18%). Romania (94%), Estonia (94%) and Bulgaria (90%) are the top three EU27 countries with the highest solid biomass shares for bioelectricity.

^{*}i.e., fossil fuels, nuclear and non-renewable waste inputs.
** Data of UK for 2019
Source: Eurostat

deer Status and UK" in 2020 (Stan)

	tead Biomann	-	Rept	Receased in municipal water	-
8487	44.069	24.240	88.575	7.842	1.601
42	3.815	95.8	181	179	
	1.272	767	145	875	
	489	441	87		
CY .					
12	1.258	736	440	75	
04	11.157	1.088	5.776	2.209	91
-	2.580	1.519	138	454	
	585	540		25	
		26			
#5	1.785	1.854	194	295	
	2.619	2.880	-	222	
**	3.961	1.569	752	1.051	1
-	310	227	83		
100	603	487	57	66	
	238			100	
	5.438	1.755	1.899	843	904
17	200	202		28	
100	160	135	88	1.8	
1.0	2.00	367	72		
MIT	1		1		
86.	2.513	1.444	118	-	
m.	2.079	1.762	229	85	1
**	3.895	827	76	112	1
80	149	158	88		
	4.000	3.250		874	10
	18	53	24		1
	487	363	304	20	
18	8.034	4.775	2.177	1.084	

* off-data-for-2020 Reams-Formulat



Figure 11 Evolution of electrical capacity from biomass plants by type in the EUET in MME

Internet Surveyord

Bioelectricity increased over the last two decades from 8.2x3 kitse to 36.502 kitse, with particularly robust growth rates for bioplectricity are difficult to predict due to conflicting pressures. On the one hand, growth rates may be augmented by the increasing need for clean and dispatchable generation capacities to stabilise an energy system increasingly dependent on intermittent RES. One the other hand, the growth of bioelectricity is/may be undermined by the lack of legal certainty concerning the EU's sustainability policy that can potentially discurage investors.

Table 9 Electrical capacity from biomass plants by type in the EUD7 and UK* in 2020 (in MM) with average EUD7 Member States and UK load Sector

	Total Biodectricity	Safet Manager	Rega	Municipal acation	Liquid Statute
8427	38.502	15.561		1.987	1,209
Growth rate (2019-2020)	-1,45%	-2,21%	5,36%	1,74%	-43,18%
AT	1.525	816	145	540	
	1.058	364	199	272	23
86	-	15	33		
CY	18		1.8		
	875	452	366	55	
04	11.895	1.587	7.465	2.552	291
-	1.967	1.485	118	363	
	1811	200	115	170	
	87	14	83		
65	1.385	868	268	245	6
	2.545	2.442	5	138	
-	2.298	847	5.88	905	15
-			55		
HELP .	5.96	387	85	54	
	148	7	18	80	
	3.841	726	1.579	804	992
17	138	83		34	
	45	25	12	1.7	
1.00	156	-	-		
MIT	5		5		
-	1.260	275	209	780	
m.	1.0995	794	261	100	
m	756	803	75	82	
80	145	1.345	80		
	4.387	2.942	100	1,245	
	67	85	80		8
-	291	187	82	12	
1.00	7.826	4.673	1.812	1.825	

* (8 Ani: lar 2018

Note electrical opportion from CHP ands are included - considering the net maximum electrical opports from Europhy definition

Warnings' works installed capacity could also include non-termenable municipal works.

Instan European



Figure 13 Evolution of the grant electricity generation from biomass by type in the EUST (bins)

Instant Furnature

Atthough the amount of biogas used for electricity is stagnating, its other uses are increasing. For example, final consumption in the industrial and agricultural sectors as well as in commercial & public services. This plateau corresponds to the end of suggest measures to biogas-based production of electricity and to the new national targets of biogas in the heating sector or biomethane use in transport and/or injection in the natural gas grid. Solid biomass continues to grow at a rate of 65 per year, beica the rate of the past fact years.



Figure 13 Since electricity proprieties from Mannae, by Spec in the HUT? 2020 Mined

Instant Constant

The total installed capacity from bioelectricity in the EU27 decreased between 2019 and 2020 by 506 into while the final electricity generation increased by 322 intoe. The country that experiences the highest growth in bioelectricity generation in the last year was the Netherlands which went from 500bitse to 798 representing an increase of 605. The top 6 bioelectricity producing EU27 countries Elemany, Italy, Sweden, Finland, The Netherlands and Francel represent two thirds 8670 of the total EU27 bioelectricity generation.

While overall production increased, some countries also experienced a reduction in their biselectricity generation between 2019 and 2020; particularly remarkable are the decreases in Finland and Sweden, which both cut their production by nearly 195.

Table 10 Gross electricity generation from biomas in 2127 Member Natos and UK* in 2020 (Must) with growth rate

	Tanal Minelectricity	Growth rate (2019-2020)	Salat Manuas	-	Recording municipal water	Liquid Ballach
BUE?	14.076	2,85	7.136	4.794	1.624	434
Share (%)	100%		5.0%	14%	1.7%	115
Growth rate (20119-2020)	2,33%	1	2,8%	1,3%	-0,97%	-2,9%
47	276	-2%	81.8	54	28	
	454	156	285	87	79	2
86	146	-7%	127	18		
CY.	5	0%		5		
	448	256	215	228	10	
04	4.375	1%	965	2.660	9405	26
-	524	2%	170	18	81	
	138	3676	150			- 1
		12%		35		
#5	1.00	11%	3960	76	60	1
	196	-12%	825	26	44	- 1
-	775	45	340	236	184	
-	84	12%		-		
MU	186	-0%	143	28	14	
	85	11%	37	15	28	
	1.704	1%	1004	752	200	401
17	55	12%	32	18		
	82	23%	23	5	4	
-	74	-8%	45	-		
ALC: Y	1	0%				
-	798	60%	497	75	189	
m.	718	9%	5765	106	18	
	326	13%	276	22	28	
80	47	9%	42	5		
	963	-04%	817	1	142	
	24	9%	1.8	10		1
	246	-0%	-	-		
1.00	3.208	0%	2.2%0	451	827	

"off-statu-for-2005 Reaming Formulat





"of data for 200 Bearse Constan

Figure 13 there of gross electricity generation of consentional thermal power plants' produced from OP and share of biselectricity produced from OP is 2020 is 8127 Member States and UK* (N)



#Dactivity has DW and of the total electricity generation has conventional thermal economic

Evaluation by here OF and of total biselectricity

"(8.6cs for 2015)

* Consentional thermal power plants: plants producing electricity from gas, coal, petroleum products, nuclear and non-reressable assets. Internet furnitiet

The greatest share of bioelectricity (73% in 2020) is generated in combined heat and power (DHP) plants. Only a few countries, namely Spain and Ireland, have a different model with most of the electricity being produced in poweronly plants. Unlike bisatischrichy, conventional thermal sources are generally only operating in power-only plants, only 225 of their electricity was generated in CHP units. This dramatic differential (51) gp-difference) in the share of bisatischrichy CHP and conventical thermal CHP, illustrates the supergies between renewable energies and energy efficiency, and it is a clear indicator of how bisenergy is a strong prometer of energy efficiency, indeed, the combined production of electricity and heat from biomass is an important asset of bispower towards the EU Energy System integration Strategy that identifies development of a more circular energy system, as one of the main examples of sector coupling.

Bioelectricity is mostly produced in efficient CHP plants, but it is also important to recognise that there are some aduations for which CHP is not the optimal solution and that biopower-only installations also play an important role when justified by certain conditions. (e.g., in locations where there is no, or little commercial heat need that would not justify the retrofitting of existing installations), in fact, the form of energy theat or electricity| needed depends strongly on the local circumstances, suggesting that rigid top-down approaches should be assided.

On top of this, it should be further noted that CHP biselectricity plants can also apply carbon capture and storage technologies (BECCS) which enable carbon dioxide removal, making bisenergy one of the only available options for regative emissions.

	Total biselectricity		Bearier	Biselectricity from electricity only plants		Biselectricity from OIP plants			
	2000	2010	2620	2000	2010	2020	2000	2010	2626
Eug7	2.585	8.584	14.075	875	3.369	3.875	1.756	6.291	18.254
47	1.81	105	206	10	1.77	144	85	208	252
	40	184	454	3.0	228	255	18	156	243
86			346			25			125
69		8	5						5
-	45	186	-	1 14	16		10	188	445
04	872	2.817	4.373	872	1.134	1.366		1.763	1.007
-	112	385	524				112	205	524
	8	64	138		20	82	8	44	128
81		16			14				10
85	1.28	345	5.80	70	252	429	58	118	100
	745	544	196	1.04	146	100	447	798	
-	218	162	775		187	1.75	125	185	604
-			84						85
-	6	187	186		170	55	6	28	130
		27	81		23	75		4	
	120	812	1.704	64	582	772	56	280	952
17		18	55					18	55
100	2	7	82	1	2			5	82
1.0			74						74
MT									1
	175	805	798	1.00	255	108	85	250	-
m.	18	542	718		25	134	18	5.23	184
**	111	225	326	1.25		175			152
80		88	47		4			6	
	852	1.048	961				852	1.048	961
	6	19	24		1		6	18	23
		57	244					1.7	136
1.00	208	1.054	2.826	304	160	2.004	-		1004

"All days for 2018 Inema Constant

Garmany, Italy, and Poland are the 3 countries among the EU27 that experienced the highest increase for total biselectricity in absolute figures, while we see the highest proportional increase in Estonia, Poland, and Croatia since 2000. The 765 of the increase in bioelectricity between 2000-2019 was mostly driven by CHP plants. This brend demonstrates the importance of a sound regulatory framework, for example article 29 (11) of the Renewable Energy Directive which incentivized the use of biomass in highly efficient facilities, is likely to have promoted these trends. This is the case for all EU countries, unlike the UK, where biselectricity growth was driven by power-only installations. For the same period, Germany, Spain, and Italy experienced the biggest growth in absolute figures. from biselectricity deriving from power plants, whilst Poland, Raly and Ireland had the biggest growth in relative figures. Finally, regarding the biselectricity from CHP plants, Germany experienced the sharpest increase is both absolute and relative figures since 2000. Italy and The Netherlands follow for absolute figures, and Estoria and Poland for relative figures.

The Ralian and German brends are due to the increase in their biogas capacities. The Pulish increase is attributed to sold biomass facilities that are predominant (retrofitted, upgraded or new units). Some other countries with no significant bioelectricity production in 2000, such as Estonia, Croatia, or Hungary, are now producing between 6,5% and 17,9% of their electricity from biomass E7 Figure 142, mainly from solid biomass Estonia (52%) and Hungary B0%) or bioges Ecroatial, A8 the countries mentioned above have seen their solid fossil fuels (sil shales & oil sands for Estonia) consumption decrease (compared with 2010) alongoide with the increase of bioelectricity and other remewables. However, electricity generation from natural ges in 2019 is still significant (except for Estonia and Sweder) and often higher than its 2000 level – explaining its current importance in the electricity fostprint E7 Figure 42 and showing the need for clean and dispatchable solutions, such as bioelectricity, in the transition to a low carbon electricity grid.

Table 12 2020 Biodischicky projection according to the National Energy and Climate Plans (NCEPs) in the ELEP Member States (Host) and growth rate between 2020 and 2020 (N)

	Total Monitorthicity in 2020	Total biselectricity in 2030	Abushute change	Scouth rate
AT	396	478	82	21%
	454	347	-206	-40%
86	146	140	4	-4%
CY .	5	n.a.	1	
- 12	448	387	-52	-12%
06	4.373	3.412	-763	-17%
04	524	805	77	13%
	158	100	-04	-35%
	-	138		252%
85	5.80	967	468	ars.
	100	1.376	100	38%
-	225	**	1	
-	84	105	25	25%
-	186	286	100	54%
	81			
	1.704	1.350	-054	-21%
17	51	82	31	80%
-	32	85	-4	-2%
19	74	**		
MT	1	1	1	154%
	798			
•	718	1.365	647	90%
**	326	671	305	54%
80	47	22	30	60%
	961	1.176	415	475
	24	50	26	112%
-	144	218	75	52%

Note: 1-2. reserve that this information is not available because the final NECPs of these countries is not jet available and their draft NECPs do not give details for lisaelectricity or that this information is not available in the final NECP. Number Furnation, NECPs While it should be noted that Belgium, Bulgaria, Cosch Republic, Germany, Estonia, and Italy all foresare a decrease in their total biselectricity generation for 2030, other countries forecast an increase. The highest increases are expected to be seen in Poland, Spain, and Portugal.



Figure 16 EUEP projection for biselectricity for 2000 based on the MECPs Stool

Note: for the countries with no data available (MCP), not per public or no details about insultance), the average growth one obtained with the data available was applied. A trease trend was applied for the visualization of the control on the 2000 algorithe according to MCPs, but this is not reconcarily representation of the implementation plans of the Member Toates. Names formation, MCPs, and Reaming, forega accomptions.

Projections show that bioelectricity should reach rearly 15 Mitse in the EU27 by 2030. The steep rise of bioelectricity during the past decade (2010-2020) and the expected steady growth in the next one clearly demonstrates that Member States are relying on bioelectricity to reach their RES-target and to play a key role in enabling higher intermittent renewable technology penetration rate. An overall increase of around 1.5 Mitse is foresame in the next decade for EU27 (= 126).

3. Annexes

Table 13 Country codes

EU27	European Union (27 members)
AT	Austria
BE	Belgium
BG	Bulgaria
CY	Cyprus
CZ	Czech Republic
DE	Germany
DK	Denmark
EE	Estonia
EL	Greece
ES	Spain
FI	Finland
FR	France
HR	Croatia
HU	Hungary
IE	Ireland
IT	Italy
LT	Lithuania
LU	Luxembourg
LV	Latvia
МТ	Malta
NL	Netherlands
PL	Poland
РТ	Portugal
RO	Romania
SE	Sweden
SI	Slovenia
SK	Slovak Republic
UK	United Kingdom

Table 14 Symbols and abbreviations

Symbol	Meaning
,	Decimal separator
	Thousand
n.a.	Data not available

Table 15 Table decimal prefixes

10 ¹	Deca (da)	10-1	Deci (d)
10 ²	Hecto (h)	10-2	Centi (c)
10 ³	Kilo (k)	10-3	Milli (m)
10 ⁶	Mega (M)	10-6	Micro (µ)
10 ⁹	Giga (G)	10 ⁻⁹	Nano (n)
10 ¹²	Tera (T)	10-12	Pico (p)
10 ¹⁵	Peta (P)	10 ⁻¹⁵	Femto (f)
10 ¹⁸	Exa (E)	10-18	Atto (a)

Table 16 Table general conversion factor for energy

to from	1 MJ	1kWh	1 kg oe	Mcal
1 MJ	1	0,278	0,024	0,239
1 kWh	3,6	1	0,086	0,86
1 kg oe	41,868	11,63	1	10
1 Mcal	4,187	1,163	0,1	1



Supported by:







Bioenergy Europe Place du Champ de Mars 2A 1050 Brussels T:+32 2 318 40 34 info@bioenergyeurope.org



www.bioenergyeurope.org